



# **D1.10 – 2nd Monitoring report by external evaluator**

**01/12/2017**



**Best practices for EUROpean COORDination on investigative measures and evidence gathering**



**EUROCOORD**

**Version FINAL**

**Preparation date 25/11/2017**

**Deliverable D1.10**

**Work Package WP1**

**Authors: Sandra Vilaplana Llin, Kveloce I+D+i**

**Approved by Coordinator on: 01/12/2017**

**Dissemination level: CO**



## INTRODUCTION

As part of the project evaluation framework, this report aims at monitoring the implemented activities during the 6 months until 12 month of project execution to assure the highest standards

This is a practical report which is part of a series of deliverables that will be developed throughout the project to ensure the correct implementation of the project, with maximum quality. Specifically, four monitoring reports are expected in the 6, 12, 18 and 24 months of the project, corresponding to the deliverables D1.9, D1.10, D1.11 and D1.12.

The aspects that will be controlled as described in the Evaluation framework are project management, research framework and results, quality of training activities (expected at the end of the project) and External communication. Other aspects such as impact will also be considered.



## 2nd MONITORING REPORT BY EXTERNAL EVALUATOR

Date **01 12 2017**

Autor **Sandra Vilaplana**

<b>PROJECT</b>	EUROCOORD
<b>COORDINATING ENTITY</b>	Universidad de Burgos
<b>COORDINATOR</b>	Mar Jimeno Bulnes

### 1. SUMMARY OF THE SITUATION OF THE PROJECT

Starting date **01 12 2016**

Ending date **30 11 2018**

The Project is in its **12** months of execution, since December 2016 until November 2017.

This report shows the status of each of the activities that are in the work packages and their respective deliverables during month 6 and 12 (June 2017 – November 2017). Also, a quality implementation tasks review is performed

		Year 1					
		jun-17	jul-17	ago-17	sep-17	oct-17	nov-17
Lead Organisation		7	8	9	10	11	12
<b>Work Package 1 (Management and Coord.)</b>		UBU					
1 Brussels Kick-off meeting	UBU						
2 Project kick-off meeting	UBU						
3 2nd Project meeting	UOP		x				
4 3rd Project meeting	UCM						x
5 4th Project meeting	JU						
6 Final Project Meeting	UBU						
7 Project monitoring and steering committee	UBU		x				D
8 Administrative and financial management	UBU						
9 Ethics management	UBU						
10 Preliminary and final evaluation	UBU					x	
<b>Workpackage 2 (National and European legislation)</b>		UOP					
1 Comparative research methodology	UOP						
2 Jurisprudence analysis	UOP. All partners						
3 Interviews	UOP. All partners						
4 Analysis and assessment of the results	UOP		D				
<b>Work package 3 (legal protection)</b>		UBU					
1 Qualitative research methodology	UBU						
2 Interviews and focus groups	UBU, UCM, UOP, UJ						
3 Comprehensive and qualitative analysis	UBU, UOP, UJ						D
<b>Work package 4 (Code of Best Practises)</b>		UCM					
1 First draft	UCM						
2 Discussion groups	UCM. All partners						
3 Final version	UCM						
4 Training courses planning and syllabus	UBU						
<b>Work package 5 (Dissemination and training)</b>		JU					
1 Main website and updates	UBU						D
2 Online debate and communication	UJ	D					
3 Offline communication campaigns	UJ						
4 Training courses and resources	UBU						
5 European Observatory for the EIO	UJ, UBU, UOP						
6 Dissemination to policy makers	UJ, UBU, UOP						
7 Scientific dissemination	UJ, UBU, UOP						
8 Events and conferences	UCM						

During this period of project execution, most activities have focused on the WS0, WS1, WS2, WS3 and WS4.

Concerning WS0:

- To organize and held the M6 project meeting in Palermo and hosted by UNIPA partner. The meeting was aimed at:
  - o To monitor de progress of the project activities.
- To present the 2<sup>nd</sup> evaluation monitoring report by external

Concerning WS1:

- Partners are working on the activities 1 (comparative research methodology) and 2 (Jurisprudence analysis)

Concerning WS2:

- Partners has start with the qualitative research methodology (activity 1) and with the planification of the interviews and focus groups (activity 2) and its comprehensive and qualitative analysis (activity 3).

Concerning WS4:

- Project partners are working on the dissemination and communication activities, such as congresses and conference attendance. Besides, social media and press media is being used to communicate the project.
- Twitter account for the project has been established by UJ and it will be managed until the end of the project.
- Project website is in progress, it is developed by an external entity and UBU together with UJ will be in charge of the maintenance (update posts, project information...)

**MILESTONES**

Number	Milestone title	WS number	Lead Beneficiary	Date	Means of verification	Achieved
MS4	Final data collection and comparative research into the EOI judicial framework, legal protection and analysis of the current situation from stakeholders	WP2,WP3	3-UNIPA	ago-17	Interviews addressed to judicial authorities, practitioners, and any other stakeholder involved (e.g., NGOs, police officers, etc.). Analysis of the national legislation on the implementation of the EIO, legal cooperation practices, EU legal instruments, the intervention of the leading institutions, etc.	NO

Due to EIO has not been implemented in Spain and Poland implementation analysis has not been executed yet, thus the interviews are suffering a delay. Nevertheless, project partners are already working in the selection of the people who is going to be interviewed and contacting them.

**EVALUATION**

PM item	Tools	Activities done
Risks and changes	Risk monitoring Mitigation procedures Deviations of chronograms	A risk assessment has been carried out, as well as the mitigation measures have been defined for: low participation of the target

		groups, delay in the deliverables and low quality of the outputs.
Research framework and results	Deliverables and milestones Research methodology	A quality deliverable review among partners has been established: peer review. D1.1 Project Handbook with internal procedures is detailed.
Quality of the training activities	Satisfactory questionnaire	N/A
External communication	List of KPI	KPI already defined following the Annex III of EUROCOORD project

Some activities deviation related to EIO implementation in Spain and Poland were detected. The project partners are working in other activities to continue with the project activities, such as the structure and content of the reports that should be submitted as deliverables.

### EXTERNAL IMPACT

With the aim to evaluate Dissemination and Communication activities, a series of KPI and Indicators has been established in the DoA, as follows:

#### Target groups reached to date

- Academia: 80 people (20 people reached by partner). Partners has announced EUROCOORD project between their department and academia network (tools: emails, social media such as twitter, universities press releases....)
- Judges: 40 people. 10 judges have been contacted by each partner in order to communicate project itself and besides they were contacted to in a future implement the interviews.
- Prosecutors: 80 people. 20 people by each partner has been contacted by email, phone and interaction in conference events.
- National authorities: 14 reached by each project partner.
- Law enforcement authorities, lawyers, anti-discrimination experts/ professionals, NGOs, prisoners.: project partners in special UJ as dissemination manager are starting with the plan to reach this target groups. It's expected a social media campaign in month 18.

Other indicators have been identified in evaluation framework, but they will be evaluated in the following months once the related activities are being performed.